Case 1:20-bk-11784-MB Doc 16 Filed 10/21/20 Entered 10/21/20 18:18:07 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 11

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Matthew D. Resnik (Bar No. 182562) Roksana D. Moradi-Brovia (Bar No. 266572) RESNIK HAYES MORADI LLP 17609 Ventura Blvd., Suite 314 Encino, CA 91316 Telephone: (818) 285-0100 Facsimile: (818) 855-7013 matt@RHMFirm.com roksana@RHMFirm.com Attorneys for Debtor Valley Enterprises T.S. Inc.							
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT							
9	CENTRAL DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA						
10	SAN FERNANDO V	ALLEY DIVISION						
11								
12	In re:	Case No. 1:20-bk-11784-MB						
13)	Chapter 11						
14	VALLEY ENTERPRISES T.S. INC.,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION						
15	Debtor.	BY RESNIK HAYES MORADI LLP TO WITHDRAW AS GENERAL						
16	\	BANKRUPTCY COUNSEL TO THE DEBTOR; MEMORANDUM OF						
17		POINTS AND AUTHORITIES; DECLARATION OF MATTHEW D.						
18		RESNIK IN SUPPORT THEREOF						
19								
20		[Hearing to be set by Court; Application for Hearing on Shortened Notice filed concurrently herewith]						
21								
22 23	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a hearing date and time to be set by the Court, in							
23	Courtroom 303 of the above-entitled Court located at 21041 Burbank Blvd., Woodland							
25	Hills, CA 91367, RESNIK HAYES MORADI LLP (the "Firm") hereby moves this Court for an order permitting its withdrawal as counsel for Valley Enterprises T.S. Inc., the Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession herein (hereinafter the "Debtor"), effective upon entry of							
26								
27								
28	the order granting this Motion.							
RESNIK HAYES MORADI LLP]							

The Debtor and Counsel have a conflict. This conflict was raised to the Client by the Firm immediately upon the conflict being realized on or around October 8, 2020. At that time the Firm, by and through Matthew Resnik, informed the Client both on the telephone and in writing.

The Firm has extended the period of time for Debtor to find new counsel on multiple occasions. In addition, Matthew Resnik spoke with counsel for the Debtor for the separate litigation in which the conflict exists, Anthony Raineri, wherein both parites recognized the need for the Debtor to obtain new counsel.

The Motion is based on irreconcilable differences between the Debtor and the Firm and the process in moving forward in the Chapter 11 bankruptcy case; the conflict has made it difficult if not impossible and burdensome for the Firm to continue to represent the Debtor in any additional proceedings. The Debtor is aware of the compliance and aware of the fact that the case may be dismissed or converted without representation of counsel.

The Motion is based upon this Notice of Motion and Motion, the attached Declaration, all pleadings and records on file in this case, and upon such other evidentiary matters as may be presented to the Court regarding the Motion.

The Debtor files concurrently an application for order shortening time which requests that the Court set a hearing date as early as possible to have this Motion heard.

Once the Court has ruled on that application, the Firm will give notice as is ordered by the Court.

21 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

///

25

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

RESNIK HAYES MORADI LLP

WHEREFORE, Applicant respectfully requests that the Court enter an order granting the requested relief and such other and further relief as is just and proper under the circumstances. Dated: October 21, 2020 RESNIK HAYES MORADI LLP /s/ Matthew D. Resnik

Matthew D. Resnik By: Roksana D. Moradi-Brovia Attorneys for Debtor Valley Enterprises T.S. Inc. **RESNIK HAYES** MORADI LLP

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. NOTICE TO VALLEY ENTERPRISES T.S. INC. OF CONSEQUENCES OF WITHDRAWAL

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule ("LBR") 2091-1(c), that if this Motion is granted by the Court, representation by Resnik Hayes Moradi LLP (the "Firm") will <u>end</u> upon entry of the order granting this Motion.

Valley Enterprises T.S. Inc., the Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession herein (hereinafter the "Debtor") has been previously advised to <u>immediately</u> seek representation to insure that its rights are not adversely affected by the withdrawal.

II. <u>REQUEST TO WITHDRAW</u>

LBR 2091-1. ATTORNEYS – WITHDRAWAL, SUBSTITUTION, AND CHANGE OF ADDRESS

- (a) Motion for Withdrawal or Substitution. Except as provided in LBR 2091-1(b), leave of court pursuant to LBR 9013-1(p) is required for:
 - (1) An attorney who has appeared on behalf of an entity in any matter concerning the administration of the case, in one or more proceedings, or both, to withdraw as counsel.

LBR 2091-1. ATTORNEYS – WITHDRAWAL, SUBSTITUTION, AND CHANGE OF ADDRESS

- (c) Notice.
- (1) Case. An attorney seeking withdrawal or substitution who has appeared on behalf of an entity in any matter concerning the administration of the case must give notice of the proposed substitution or motion for leave to withdraw to the debtor, the United States trustee, any case trustee, any committee appointed in the case, and counsel for any of the foregoing.

need to find new counsel over the course of numerous electronic mails.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9 10

11

1213

14

15

1617

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

RESNIK HAYES MORADI LLP

III. AN ATTORNEY IS PERMITTED TO WITHDRAW WHEN THERE IS A BREAKDOWN IN THE COMMUNICATIONOR THERE IS A CONFLICT

BETWEEN COUNSEL AND THE DEBTOR

Information has subsequently been revealed that would create a conflict in the

representation of the Debtor. Matthew D. Resnik personally made the Debtor aware of the

Rule 3-700(C)(1)(d) of the California Rules of Professional Conduct permits an attorney to withdraw from representation if the client, "by other conduct renders it unreasonably difficult for the member to carry out the employment effectively...."

The breakdown in the attorney-client relationship, and certain acts and omissions (specifically: attempting to pursue a course of action against the Firm's advice, not responding to certain communications, not providing consistent instructions and not clarifying the current status of the engagement), render it unreasonably difficult for the Firm to carry out its representation.

Although there is no personal animosity between the Debtor and the Firm, it is clear that the Firm can no longer be useful to the Debtor. Therefore, the Firm should withdraw and allow the Debtor to proceed as she wishes.

Pursuant to Rule 3-700(A)(2), the Firm has taken steps to minimize any prejudice to the Debtor, as discussed above, by notifying the Debtor of its intent to withdraw.

The Firm requests that all further notices, pleadings, process and other papers addressed to the Debtor be served by mail to the following address:

Valley Enterprises T.S. Inc 13962 Saticoy Street Van Nuys, CA 91402

IV. **CONCLUSION** Based upon the forgoing, Resnik Hayes Moradi LLP hereby respectfully requests that the Court issue an order permitting the Firm to withdraw as counsel for the Debtor, effective upon entry of the order granting this Motion. Dated: October 21, 2020 RESNIK HAYES MORADI LLP /s/ Matthew D. Resnik By:____ Matthew D. Resnik Roksana D. Moradi-Brovia Attorneys for Debtor Valley Enterprises T.S. Inc. RESNIK HAYES **MORADI LLP**

DECLARATION OF MATTHEW D. RESNIK

2

1

3

4

6

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2.0 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

///

1,	maunew	υ.	Resnik,	declare	as	follows:	

- I am over the age of 18. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth 1. herein, and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently with respect thereto. Where facts are alleged upon information and belief, I believe them to be true.
- 2. I am an attorney at law licensed in the State of California and authorized to practice before the Federal Courts in the Central District of California, and before this Court.
 - 3. I am counsel for the Debtor herein.
- 4. Information has subsequently been revealed that would create a conflict in the representation of the Debtor. The filing of this Motion is necessary due to the conflict that does exist between the Debtor and counsel.
- I spoke with the Debtor, Jose Pasco, on the 8th of October upon realizing a 5. conflict exists. A separate email correspondence confirming this conflict was sent to the Debtor on October 9, 2020.
- 6. The conflict is real between the Firm and the Debtor was revealed shortly after filing. I am reluctant to discuss the details of such differences out of concern for attorney-client confidences, but I emphasize that the conflict in the attorney-client relationship is clear and unambiguous.
- 7. I personally made the Debtor aware of the need to find new counsel over the course of numerous electronic mails and texts as well as on the telephone.
- 8. The Debtor is aware the case can be dismissed or converted if new counsel is not found expeditiously.

///

///

9. Although there is no personal animosity between the Debtor and the Firm, it is clear that we can no longer be of any help to the Debtor and therefore the Firm should withdraw and allow it to proceed as it wishes. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on October 21, 2020, at Encino, California. /s/ Matthew D. Resnik Matthew D. Resnik

RESNIK HAYES MORADI LLP

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:

17609 Ventura Blvd., Suite 314, Encino, CA 91316.

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (specify): NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION BY RESNIK

HAYES MORADI LLP	TO WITHDRAW AS GENERAL BAN	NKRUPTCY COUNSEL TO THE DEBTOR; MEMORANDU	UM
		THEW D. RESNIK IN SUPPORT THEREOF will be served anner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner state	
Orders and LBR, the fo	regoing document will be served by the CM/ECF docket for this bankrupton	CTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General he court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On (date) by case or adversary proceeding and determined that the ceive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below	
		Service information continued on attached paç	ge
known addresses in this envelope in the United	s bankruptcy case or adversary proce States mail, first class, postage prepa	020, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last eeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed aid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here appleted no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.	
		⊠ Service information continued on attached page	ge
for each person or entit following persons and/o such service method), I	y served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 a or entities by personal delivery, overni by facsimile transmission and/or emai	and/or controlling LBR, on (date) 10/21/2020, I served the ight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to il as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is	_
To the Debtor	via email; address not listed here f	for privacy reasons.	
Personal Deliv	<u>very:</u>		
	red for documents less than 25-pages DURING COVID-19 PUBLIC EMER	s per GENERAL ORDER 20-06 - IN RE: PROCEDURES FO GENCY.	ЭR
		☐ Service information continued on attached page	ge
I declare under penalty	of perjury under the laws of the Unite	ed States that the foregoing is true and correct.	
10/21/2020	Ja'Nita Fisher	/s/ Ja'Nita Fisher	
Date	Printed Name	Signature	
			_

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF) [CONTINUED]:

- Julian K Bach Julian@Jbachlaw.com, julianbach@sbcglobal.net
- **Greg P Campbell** ch11ecf@aldridgepite.com, gc@ecf.inforuptcy.com;gcampbell@aldridgepite.com
- Russell Clementson russell.clementson@usdoj.gov
- Roksana D. Moradi-Brovia roksana@rhmfirm.com,
 matt@rhmfirm.com;janita@rhmfirm.com;susie@rhmfirm.com;max@rhmfirm.com;priscilla@rhmfirm.com;pardis@rhmfirm.com;russ@rhmfirm.com;rebeca@rhmfirm.com;david@rhmfirm.com;sloan @rhmfirm.com
- Matthew D. Resnik matt@rhmfirm.com, roksana@rhmfirm.com;janita@rhmfirm.com;susie@rhmfirm.com;max@rhmfirm.com;priscilla@rh mfirm.com;pardis@rhmfirm.com;russ@rhmfirm.com;rebeca@rhmfirm.com;david@rhmfirm.com;sl oan@rhmfirm.com
- United States Trustee (SV) ustpregion16.wh.ecf@usdoj.gov

2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL [CONTINUED]:

Valley Enterprises T.S. Inc 13962 Saticoy Street Van Nuys, CA 91402

ALL CREDITORS:

Franchise Tax Board Bankruptcy Section MS: A-340 PO Box 2952 Sacramento, CA 95812

Internal Revenue Service PO Box 7346 Philadelphia, PA 19101

Employment Development Dept. Bankruptcy Group MIC 92E Po Box 826880 Sacramento, CA 94280

California Department of Tax and Fee Administration Account Information Group MIC:29 P.O. Box 942879 Sacramento, CA 94279

U. S. Securities and Exchange Commission Attn: Bankruptcy Counsel 444 South Flower Street, Suite 900 Los Angeles, CA 90071-9591

Attorney General United States Department of Justice Ben Franklin Station P. O. Box 683 Washington, DC 20044

Civil Process Clerk United States Attorney's Office Federal Building, Room 7516 300 North Los Angeles Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

BSI Financial Services P.O. Box 517

Titusville, PA 16354

BSI Financial Services PO Box 1943 Richmond, IN 47375

Carrington Mortgage Services P.O. Box 79001 Phoenix, AZ 85062-9001

Chase Auto PO Box 90187 Fort Worth, TX 76101-2087

Chysler Capital P.O. Box 961275 Fort Worth, TX 76161

East West Bank P.O. Box 60021 City of Industry, CA 91716-0021

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. Bankruptcy Dept. PO Box 29505 AZ1-5757 Phoenix, AZ 85038

Los Angeles County Tax Collector PO Box 54110 Los Angeles, CA 90054-0110

SRA Associates 112 W. Park Drive, Ste 200 Mount Laurel, NJ 08054

Val-Chris Investments, Inc. 2601 Main Street, Suite 400 Irvine, CA 92614